

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/022,728	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M Bernatz	1773	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Kevin M Bernatz.

(3) _____.

(2) Jesus Del Castillo.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 in view of Bian et al. ('116 B1)

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Bian et al. ('116 B1)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner indicated that applicants had overcome all but the rejections predicated on Bian et al. ('116 B1), however the Examiner noted that inclusion of the subject matter of claim 4 (namely the oxidized NiP film on the non-magnetic substrate) would bring the case into condition for allowance. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes.